sub-combination nor the following paragraph setting out the examiner's conclusionary statements address, much less satisfy, the burden of showing patentable distinctness between groups I and II (or as between II and III, or as between II and IV) that rests solely with the examiner.

Accordingly, Applicant request that the restriction requirement, as it applies to Groups I and II be withdrawn.

Applicant additionally request that the remaining aspects of the restriction requirement also be withdrawn because the examiner has not shouldered his burden to show patentable distinctness as between the various groups of claims. Conclusionary statements that the examination of the different groups of claims would require the examiner to examine different classifications are not proof that the inventions are patentably distinct.

All of the claims in this application are readable on the elected species.

The substitute specification (filed July 11, 2002) contains no new matter. The present invention relates to certain uses of a certain type of zeolitic material extensively described in the application as filed. That material was initially termed "Jordanite" in the application. That term "Jordanite" was subsequently changed to "Yenomite" throughout the application, for purposes of clarity, after applicant discovered that the term "Jordanite" had previously been used by others to refer to a material that was different from and unrelated to the zeolitic material used in the present invention. Applicants respectfully request entry of the substitute specification filed July 11, 2002.

Applicants respectfully submit that the above-identified application is now in condition for examination on the merits, and early notice of such action is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Jean-Paul Lavalleye Attorney of Record

Registration No.: 31,451

22850

PHONE: (703) 413-3000 FAX: (703) 413-2220